1	STEPHANIE M. HINDS (CABN 154284) United States Attorney		
2 3	THOMAS A. COLTHURST (CABN 99493) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	ALEXANDRA SHEPARD (CABN 205143) KATHERINE M. LLOYD-LOVETT (CABN 276256)		
5	Assistant United States Attorneys	/	
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495		
7 8	Telephone: (415) 436-7200 FAX: (415) 436-7234 Alexandra.shepard@usdoj.gov		
9	Katherine.lloyd-lovett@usdoj.gov		
	Attorneys for United States of America		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR-21-00294 WHO) [FILED JULY 27, 2021]	
15	Plaintiff,)	
16	v.))	
17	PAUL FREDERICK GIUSTI,)	
18	Defendant.)))	
19	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO. CR-21-00356 WHO FILED SEPTEMBER 9, 2021	
20	Plaintiff,))	
21	v.)	
22	RECOLOGY SAN FRANCISCO, et al.,)	
23	Defendants.)	
24)	
25			
26			
27			
28			
ļ	I		

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR-21-00490 WHO
2	Plaintiff,) [FILED DECEMBER 16, 2021]
3	v.	
4	MOHAMMED NURU,	
5	Defendant.	
6)
7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR-22-00270 CRB
8	Plaintiff,) [FILED JULY 21, 2022]
9	v.) NOTICE OF RELATED CASE IN A CRIMINAL) ACTION
10	JOHN FRANCIS PORTER,	
11	Defendant.	
12)
13	The United States of America, pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 8-1, hereby notifies the Court that the	
14	four above-captioned criminal cases are related. The newly-filed case is <i>United States v. John Francis</i>	
15	Porter, No. CR-22-00270 CRB. Defendant Porter is charged with conspiracy to commit bribery of a	
16	local official, Mohammed Nuru, and with bribery of Nuru. During the period of time relevant to the	
17	charges, Porter worked for entities charged in No. CR-21-00456 WHO. Paul Giusti is identified as a co-	
18	conspirator in Porter's indictment. Based upon these facts, the cases are related within the meaning of	
19	Local Rule 8-1(b)(1) because they involve the same events and occurrences. Furthermore, the cases are	
20	related within the meaning of Local Rule 8-1(b)(2) because, if heard by separate judges, the actions	
21	likely would involve substantial duplication of labor by the two judges. Per the requirement of Local	
22	Criminal Rule 8-1(c)(4), government counsel states that assignment of these cases to a single judge is	
23	likely to conserve judicial resources and promote an efficient determination of each action.	
24	DATED: July 25, 2022	Respectfully submitted,
25		STEPHANIE M. HINDS United States Attorney
26		Officed States Attorney
27		/s/ Katherine M. Lloyd-Lovett KATHERINE M. LLOYD-LOVETT
28		Assistant United States Attorney
	NOTICE OF RELATED CASES U.S. v. GIUSTI; US v. RECOLOGY S.F., et al.; US v. NURU; US v. PORTER v. 7/10/2018	